



日本知的財產協会 JAPAN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ASSOCIATION

(JAPAN EXTERNAL TRADE ORGANIZATION) 9th Floor, Chulan Tower, No. 3 Jalan Conlay, 50450 Kuala Lumpur, MALAYSIA Tel : 60-3-2171-6100 Fax : 60-3-2171-6077 ASAHI SEIMEI OTEMACHI BLDG.18F 6-1 Otemachi 2-chome,Chiyoda-ku Tokyo, 100-0004, JAPAN TEL: 81 3 5205 3321 FAX: 81 3 5205 3391

15th October, 2010

Mr. Azizan Mohamad Sidin Director General, Intellectual Property Corporation of Malaysia Level 27, 29, 30 & 32, Menara Dayabumi, Jalan Sultan Hishamuddin, 50623 Kuala Lumpur

Proposal on amendment and reform of Patent Act and Trade Mark Act

Dear Azizan Mohamad Sidin,

First of all, we would like to express our sincere gratitude for having always given your serious consideration to the opinions and requests of the Japanese business circle in Malaysia.

2. We take great pleasure in sending you <u>the proposal on amendment and reform of</u> <u>Patent Act and Trade Mark Act</u> for your reference in the future policy consideration.

3. We would appreciate it if you could peruse our proposal and give further considerations on amendment and reform of Patent Act and Trade Mark Act.

Yours respectfully,

久保田 聪

Satoshi Kubota Secretary General, Malaysia IPG Deputy Managing Director, JETRO

Louich Asona

Kenichi Osonoe Project Leader on Strategy to Asian Countries Japan Intellectual Property Association

CC: Ms. Shamsiah Kamaruddin

Amendments of Patent Act

| No. | EXISTING PROVISIONS | PROPOSED AMENDMENTS | Proposal and Comments |
|-----|------------------------|--|---|
| 1 | New Provision | Expedited Examination | We welcome this amendment. |
| 1 | New Provision | • | |
| | | To introduce provision for expedited examination | |
| | | due to reasons acceptable to the Registrar of | |
| | | Patent. | |
| 2 | Section 30 | Protection of Traditional Knowledge (TK) & | The details need to be studied carefully. |
| | | Genetic Resources (GR) | |
| | | • To recognize TK & GR documents as part of | |
| | | the substantive requirement under current | |
| | | provision S30(1)(a) | |
| | | • Requirement for evidence of Prior Informed | |
| | | Consent (PIC), Benefit Sharing and Country of | |
| | | Origin of genetic resources in patent applications | |
| 3 | Sections 3 and 13 | Protection of Modified Micro-Organism & | We welcome this amendment. |
| | | Other Modified Biological Materials | |
| | | To define various terms used in relation to | |
| | | bio-tech inventions | |
| 4 | New Provision | Restoration Of Patent Term | We welcome this amendment. |
| | | To provide a provision for restoration of patent | |
| | | terms due to any circumstances beyond the | |
| | | control of the Patents Office not more than 2 | |

| | | years | |
|----|---------------|---|---|
| 5 | New Provision | Effect of registration, etc on rights in patent | The reference document states that the amendment relates to |
| | | • To extend the matters allowed to be entered in | Section 32, but would we be correct in understanding that the |
| | | the register for example, registration of | amendment would be related to the provisions on the assignment |
| | | transaction in relation to the patent : Section 32 | of patents under Section 39? The details need to be studied |
| | | • To introduce a new provision that gives effect to | carefully. |
| | | registration of rights and transactions in patent | |
| 6 | New Provision | Effect of Non-registration on Infringement | The details need to be studied carefully. |
| | | Proceedings | |
| | | • To introduce a new provision that gives effect | |
| | | on non-registration on infringement proceedings | |
| 7 | Section 58 | Acts of Infringement | We welcome this amendment. |
| | | To include provision on contributory infringement | |
| 8 | New Provision | Innocent Infringement | Careful study would be needed as to what kinds of cases would be |
| | | To introduce a new provision to cater for | considered innocent, and to ensure that the amendment would not |
| | | innocent infringement | be too easy on infringers. |
| 9 | New Provision | Groundless Threat | Careful study would be needed as to the extent of proof which the |
| | | To introduce provision for groundless threat | patent owner would have to produce. An excessively strict |
| | | | requirement for proof would lead to the weakening of rights. |
| 10 | Section 84 | Rights of Government | The details need to be studied carefully. |
| | | To make use of the DOHA Declaration facility in | We request that express provisions be stipulated in law or |
| | | relation to pharmaceutical products under | regulations about the detailed requirements under which a |
| | | Section 84 – importations and exportations | compulsory license may be effected against a patent on a |

| | | | pharmaceutical in the public interest, so as to ensure that the |
|----|---------------|---|--|
| | | | rights of patent owners would not be unjustly limited. |
| 11 | Section 23A | RESIDENTS | Given the advancement of global businesses and of international |
| | | To introduce definition for Resident | research and development frameworks, we fear that expanding |
| | | • Proposed Definition: 'a person resident in | the scope of people who would be obligated to file their patent |
| | | Malaysia' includes a person who at the material | applications in Malaysia first could hinder the prompt filing of |
| | | time is residing in Malaysia by virtue of a valid | patent applications in other countries. Also, since even people who |
| | | permit lawfully issued to him under the | do not wish to acquire patents in Malaysia would be required to file |
| | | Immigration Act 1959/63 or any Act amending or | their patent applications in Malaysia, there is a risk that foreign |
| | | substituted for the Act | companies would become negative about conducting research |
| | | | and development in Malaysia. Due to these reasons, we oppose |
| | | | the amendment to expand the scope of people who are required to |
| | | | file their patent applications in Malaysia first to include also foreign |
| | | | nationals residing in Malaysia. |
| | | | If the definition of "resident" is to be thus expanded, we request |
| | | | that due consideration be given so as to prevent the imposition of |
| | | | an excessive burden on applicants, such as by limiting the target |
| | | | inventions to only those related to national defense. |
| 12 | New Provision | Observations by 3rd Party on Patentability | We welcome this amendment. |
| | | To introduce a new section that deals with | |
| | | observation by third party on patentability | |
| 13 | New Provision | Composition of Offences | We welcome this amendment. |
| | | To introduce a new provision on composition of | |

| | | offences Penalty under Section 23A: Existing: Not exceeding RM15,000.00 or imprisonment of 2 years or both Proposed compounding penalty: Not exceeding RM 10,000.00 | |
|----|------------|---|----------------------------|
| 14 | Section 36 | Monetization & Securitization To provide avenue for monetization and securitization of patent rights | We welcome this amendment. |
| 15 | | MyIPO IP Official Journal To introduce MyIPO IP Official Journal | We see no problem. |
| 16 | | Other Proposed Amendments To amend the current provisions on patent information services such as the patent mapping, prior art search, and clarity in processing procedures | We see no problem. |

Amendments of Trade Mark Act

| No | Current Provision | Proposed Amendments | Proposal and Comments |
|----|------------------------|---|---|
| • | | | |
| 1 | Registration of | Provision on non traditional mark e.g. sound, | We welcome a step toward the broader protection of trademarks. |
| | traditional marks i.e. | smell, 3D etc. | However, due to the difficulty in ensuring the appropriate |
| | trade mark and | | examination of such non-traditional marks, the amendment could |
| | service mark | | lead to the filing of a large number of trademark applications by |
| | | | those who are not entitled thereto. Although prior user rights are |
| | | | protected under Section 40(1)(c) of the Trade Marks Act, there is a |
| | | | risk of confusion in how the prior user status can be proved. |
| 2 | Single class | Single and multiple class application | We welcome this amendment. |
| | application only | | |
| 3 | Strict rule of | Reform on examination procedures i.e. | The details need to be studied carefully. |
| | examination on | absolute/relative grounds of refusal | |
| | registrability | | |
| 4 | Local filing only | Filing – national and international route | We welcome this amendment. |
| 5 | Limited provision on | To extend provision on infringement i.e. | We welcome this amendment. |
| | infringement and | statutory damages, groundless threat, etc. | |
| | damages | | |
| 6 | Limited | Limited provision on recordal of | We see no problem. |
| | provision | licensing (registered user) | |
| | on recordal | | |
| | of licensing | | |

| | (registered | | |
|----|--------------------|---|---|
| | user) | | |
| 7 | Border Measure | Customs authority to administer and enforce | We basically welcome this amendment, but the details need to be |
| | | Border Measure provision | studied carefully. |
| 8 | Advertisement of | Introduction of MyIPO IP Official Journal | We welcome this amendment. |
| | acceptance via | | |
| | government gazette | | |
| 9 | | Provision on monetization and securitization of | We welcome this amendment. |
| | | Trade Mark | |
| 10 | | Expedited Trade Mark registration | We basically welcome this amendment, but the details need to be |
| | | | studied carefully. |